Statement

State-wise Annual per capita cansumpiion of Electricity during the year 1994-95 Figures *in* KWH)

Name of the Region/State	1994-95
NONTHERN REGION	
Chandigarh	676.27
Delhi	745.61
Haryana	477.83
Himachal Pradesh	251.03
Jammu & Kashmir	210.88
Punjab	785.55
Rajasthan	265.88
Uttar Pradesh	197.32
SUB-TOTAL N.R.	302.82
WESTERN REGION	
Gujarat	598.65
Madhya Pradesh	334.34
Maharashtra	498.95
Goa	601.37
Deman & Diu	1552.36
Dadra & Nagar Heveli	1574.40
Sub-total W.R.	464.65
Southern Region	
Andhra Pradesh	371.34
Karnataka	362.85
Kerala	235.72
Tamil Nadu	430.62
Pondicherry	968.11
Lakshadweep	185.00
SUB-TOTAL W.R.	366.37
EASTERN REGION	
Bihar	129.91
Orissa	321.16
West Bengal	175.74
A & N Islands	178.34
Sikkim	143.07
SUB-TOTAL E.R.	178.86
EASTERN REGION	
Arunachal Pradesh	65.76
Assam	104.27
Manipur	129.15
Meghalaya	136.46
Mizoram	111.74
Nagaland	59.02
Tripura	66.28
SUB-TOTAL N.E.R.	101.53
ALL INDIA	318.84

OmaB-Indk Sub-Ses Gas PipcHne Project

451. SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES: WOI the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) at what stage the project of Oman-India sub-sea gas pipeline is pending;

(b) the details of the financers of this joint project including its total cost; and

(c) whether any agreements to lay this pipeline have been signed with conemcd countries?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI T.R. BALU): (a) The Oman Oil Company (OOC) has taken up feasibility study for the Oman-India pipeline project. The feasibility study is yet to be completed.

(b) C JC has indicated that the cost of the Oman-India pipeline project would be arround USD 5 billion. OOC has not indicated the sources of finance for the project.

(c) An agreement on Principle Terms was signed by India and Oman in September, 1994.

Scheme of HUDCO to Encourage House Building Activities

452 SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) where housing and urban Development Corporation has taken up the scheme to encourage house building activities in various States;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the financial allocations made to each of the State, Staet-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) to (c) HUDCO provides loan assistance *to* various housing agencies in States/UTs for implementation of housing suchemes in urban and

rural areas. Since is inceptioii in 1970 and as on 31.3.96, it has sanctioned loan assistance of Rs. 7815 crores for implementation of 10434 housing projects envisaging ccistructioj! of over 60 lakh dwelling units. State-wise details are given in Statement-I *{See* below).

During the current financial year i.e 1996-97. HUDCO has fixed loan sancstion target ot Rs. 1152 crores for housing projects out of which it has made State-wise allocation of Rs. 576 crores (State-wise allocation is in Statement 1I *{see* below) representing 50% of the cargetted Loan amount, on the basis of agea and pulation of each State. Balance 50% will be sanctioned depending on actual demand for loan and progress made bv each State.

Statement-I

Statewise Summary of Housing Projects as on 31.3.1996

Sl. No.	Name of the State	Loan Amt. sanctioned (in crores)	Total No. of dwelling units sanctioned for construction/ upgradation.	Total No. of plots sanctioned for development
1.	2.	3.	4.	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	756.63	995908	4056
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	1.96	317	0
3.	Assam	107.35	53183	735
4.	Bihar	188.66	183880	6991
5.	Goa	14.93	2856	1526
6.	Gujarat	564.73	499618	7742
7.	Himachal Pradesh	68.01	22360	1013
8.	Haryana	222.89	111948	95
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	51.21	14594	12084
10.	Kerala	723.45	710452	486
11.	Karnataka	567.10	699058	7312
12.	Meghalaya	36.53	12413	0
13.	Maharashtra	588.03	380229	18875
14.	Manipur	39.96	9445	0
15.	Madhya Pradesh	414.28	128468	80222
16.	Mizoram	20.09	5723	0
17.	Nagaland	33.51	11391	0
18.	Orissa	271.15	152310	4167
19.	Punjab	204.62	120210	4679
20.	Rajasthan	533.64	229312	16997
21.	Sikkim	40.35	12857	0
22.	Tamil Nadu	1119.86	784456	126542
23.	Tripura	8.16	4711	0
24.	Uttar Pradesh	828.16	641822	49469
25.	West Bengal	278.79	165740	974
Union Territory				
26.	Andaman & Nicobar	4.21	786	0
27.	Chandigarh	78.28	26511	8350

1.	2.	3.	4.	5
28.	Delhi	35.76	16250	0
29.	Dadra Nagar Haveli	0.25	87	0
30.	Pondicherry	12.58	5532	0
	TOTAL	7815.18	6002373	352315

Siatement-II

Allocation for the Year 1996-97

(For Housing Projects Only)

(Rs. in Crores)

STATE	ALLOCATION					
	RURAL	EWS	LIG	MIG	HIG	TOTAL
Andhra Pradesh	6.70	4.47	13.40	11.17	8.93	44.67
Bihar	5.14	3.43	10.28	8.56	6.85	34.26
Daman & Diu	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.09
Gujarat	6.20	4.13	12.40	10.34	8.27	41.34
Haryana	1.39	0.93	2.79	2.32	1.86	9.29
Karnataka	5.46	3.64	10.91	9.09	7.27	36.37
Kerala	2.76	1.84	5.51	4.60	3.68	18.38
Madhya Pradesh	7.23	4.82	14.46	12.05	9.64	48.18
Maharashtra	10.09	6.72	20.17	16.81	13.45	67.23
Orissa	2.83	1.89	5.68	4.72	3.77	18.87
Punjab	2.04	1.36	4.08	3.40	2.72	13.59
Rajasthan	5.72	3.81	11.43	9.53	7.62	38.11
Tamil Nadu	7.70	5.13	15.39	12.83	10.26	51.30
Uttar Pradesh	9.34	6.23	18.69	15.57	12.46	62.30
West Bengal	5.52	3.68	11.04	9.20	7.36	36.79
A & N Islands	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.27
Chandigarh	0.14	0.09	0.28	0.23	0.19	0.94
D & N Haveli	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.06
Delhi	1.79	1.19	3.58	2.98	2.39	11.94
Goa	0.22	0.14	0.43	0.36	0.29	1.45
Lakshadweep	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.08
Pondicherry	0.16	0.10	0.31	0.26	0.21	1.04
Himachal Pradesh	0.38	0.25	0.76	0.63	0.50	2.52
Jammu & Kashmir	1.22	0.81	2.43	2.03	1.62	8.11
TOTAL	82.08	54.72	164.16	136.80	109.44	547.20

North Eastern States

STATE	AL- LOCA- TION					
	RURAL	EWS	LIG	MIG	HIG	TOTAL
Assam	2.55	1.48	4.43	3.69	2.95	15.11
Manipur	0.39	0.33	0.99	0.82	0.66	3.18
Meghalaya	0.40	0.20	0.60	0.50	0.40	2.09
Nagaland	0.29	0.19	0.56	0.46	0.37	1.86
Sikkim	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.13
Tripura	0.32	0.20	0.59	0.49	0.39	1.98
Arunachal Pradesh	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.35
Mizoram	0.29	0.45	1.34	1.12	0.90	4.10
TOTAL	4.32	2.88	8.64	7.20	5.76	28.80
GRAND TOTAL	86.40	57.60	172.80	144.00	115.20	576.00

अनुसंधान और विकास के क्षेत्र में निवेश

453. **श्री अजीत जोगी:** क्या विज्ञान और प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) देश में अनुसंधान और विकास के क्षेत्र में कुल कितनी धन राशि का निवेश किया गया है और इसमें सरकारी और गैर सरकारी क्षेत्र की कितनी-कितनी राशि निविष्ट है;

(ख) अन्य विकासशील देशों की तुलना में औद्योगिक क्षेत्र में निविष्ट राशि में अनुसंधान और विकास क्षेत्र का कुल कितना हिस्सा है; और

(ग) औद्योगिक क्षेत्र में किये गये निवेश में अनुसंधान और विकास क्षेत्र का हिस्सा बढ़ाने के लिए क्या कदम उठाए गये हैं?

विज्ञान और प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री योगेन्द्र के• अलघ): (क) 1992-93 में देश में अनुसंधान और विकास कार्य में किया गया कुल निवेश 5141 करोड़ होने का अनुमान है। इसमें सरकारी तथा गैर-सरकारी क्षेत्र का हिस्सा इस प्रकार है-केन्द्रीय सरकार (64.3 प्रतिशत); राज्य सरकार (9.3 प्रतिशत); सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के उद्योग (11.4 प्रतिशत) तथा निजी क्षेत्र के उद्योग (15 प्रतिशत)।

(ख) अन्य विकासशील देशों में सामान्यतः 0.10-1.50 प्रतिशत की तुलना में हमारे देश में 1992-93 में औद्योगिक क्षेत्र की बिक्री आय का अनुसंधान और विकास व्यय 0.57 प्रतिशत था।

(ग) सरकार ने अनुसंधान और विकास में निवेशों को बढ़ाने के लिए उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहित करने हेतु वित्तीय प्रोत्साहन तथा अन्य समर्थक उपाय विकसित किए हैं।

Progress of Bio-gas Programme

454. SHRIMATI JAYANTI PATNAIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the target and achievement during the Eighth Five Year Plan period, so far, in setting up biogas plants in different States;

(b) whether there has been slow progress in the biogas programme in some States;

(c) if so, what are those States; and

(d) the reasons for the slow progress of biogas programme in those States?

THE MININSTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF NON-CONVEN-TIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (DR. S. VENUGOPALACHARI): (a) Against a target of setting up of 7.50